

Exhibit 2

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

DENNIS GROMOV, individually and on
behalf of all other similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

V.

BELKIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:22-cv-06918

Hon. Franklin U. Valderrama

Magistrate Judge Gabriel A. Fuentes

[PROPOSED] Amended Confidentiality Order

A party to this action has moved that the Court enter a confidentiality order. The Court has determined that the terms set forth herein are appropriate to protect the respective interests of the parties, the public, and the Court. Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

1. Scope. All materials produced or adduced in the course of discovery, including initial disclosures, responses to discovery requests, deposition testimony and exhibits, and information derived directly therefrom (hereinafter collectively “documents”), shall be subject to this Order concerning Confidential Information as defined below. This Order is subject to the Local Rules of this District and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on matters of procedure and calculation of time periods.

2. Confidential Information.

(a) As used in this Order, “Confidential Information” means information designated as “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” by the producing party that falls within one or more of the following categories: (a) information prohibited from disclosure by statute; (b) information that reveals trade secrets; (c) research, technical, commercial or financial information that the party has maintained as confidential; (d) medical information concerning any individual; (e) personal identity information; (f) income tax returns (including attached schedules and forms), W-2

forms and 1099 forms; or (g) personnel or employment records of a person who is not a party to the case. Information or documents that are available to the public may not be designated as Confidential Information.

(b) As used in this Order, “Confidential Information” also means information designated as “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” by the producing party that the producing party reasonably believes requires additional protection from disclosure, including sales, pricing or other information related to business strategies or operations that could result in competitive harm if disclosed.

3. Designation.

(a) A party may designate a document as Confidential Information for protection under this Order by placing or affixing the words “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” or “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” on the document and on all copies in a manner that will not interfere with the legibility of the document. As used in this Order, “copies” includes electronic images, duplicates, extracts, summaries or descriptions that contain the Confidential Information. The marking “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” or “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” shall be applied prior to or at the time of the documents are produced or disclosed. Applying the marking “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” or “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” to a document does not mean that the document has any status or protection by statute or otherwise except to the extent and for the purposes of this Order. Any copies that are made of any documents marked “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” or “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” shall also be so marked, except that indices, electronic databases or lists of documents that do not contain substantial portions or images of the text of marked documents and do not otherwise disclose the substance of the

Confidential Information are not required to be marked.

(b) The designation of a document as Confidential Information is a certification by an attorney or a party appearing pro se that the document contains Confidential Information as defined in this order.¹

4. Depositions.

Unless all parties agree on the record at the time the deposition testimony is taken, all deposition testimony taken in this case shall be treated as Confidential Information until the expiration of the following: No later than the fourteenth day after the transcript is delivered to any party or the witness, and in no event later than 60 days after the testimony was given. Within this time period, a party may serve a Notice of Designation to all parties of record as to specific portions of the testimony that are designated Confidential Information, and thereafter only those portions identified in the Notice of Designation shall be protected by the terms of this Order. The failure to serve a timely Notice of Designation shall waive any designation of testimony taken in that deposition as Confidential Information, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

5. Protection of Confidential Material.

(a) General Protections. Confidential Information shall not be used or disclosed by the parties, counsel for the parties or any other persons identified in subparagraphs (b) or (c), as applicable, for any purpose whatsoever other than in this litigation, including any appeal thereof. In a putative class action, Confidential Information may be disclosed only to the named plaintiff(s) and not to any other member of the putative class unless and until a class including the putative member has

¹ An attorney who reviews the documents and designates them as “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” or “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” must be admitted to the Bar of at least one state but need not be admitted to practice in the Northern District of Illinois unless the lawyer is appearing generally in the case on behalf of a party. By designating documents confidential pursuant to this Order, counsel submits to the jurisdiction and sanctions of this Court on the subject matter of the designation.

been certified.

(b) Disclosure of Confidential Information marked “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER.” The parties and counsel for the parties shall not disclose or permit the disclosure of any Confidential Information marked “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER” to any person or entity except as set forth in subparagraphs (1)-(9). Subject to these requirements, the following categories of persons may be allowed to review Confidential Information marked “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER”:

- (1) Counsel. Counsel for the parties and employees of counsel who have responsibility for the action;
- (2) Parties. Individual parties and current and former employees of a party but only to the extent counsel determines in good faith that the employee’s assistance is reasonably necessary to the conduct of the litigation in which the information is disclosed;
- (3) The Court and its personnel;
- (4) Court Reporters and Recorders. Court reporters and recorders engaged for depositions;
- (5) Contractors. Those persons specifically engaged for the limited purpose of making copies of documents or organizing or processing documents, including outside vendors hired to process electronically stored documents;
- (6) Consultants and Experts. Consultants, investigators, or experts employed by the parties or counsel for the parties to assist in the preparation and trial of this action but only after such persons have completed the certification contained in Attachment A, Acknowledgment of Understanding and

Agreement to Be Bound;

- (7) Witnesses at depositions. In preparation for and during their depositions, witnesses in this action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary. Witnesses shall not retain a copy of documents containing Confidential Information, except witnesses may receive a copy of all exhibits marked at their depositions in connection with review of the transcripts. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that are designated as Confidential Information pursuant to the process set out in this Order must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Order.
- (8) Author or recipient. The author or recipient of the document (not including a person who received the document in the course of litigation); and
- (9) Others by Consent. Other persons only by written consent of the producing party or upon order of the Court and on such conditions as may be agreed or ordered.

(c) Disclosure of Confidential Information marked “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER.” The parties and counsel for the parties shall not disclose or permit the disclosure of any Confidential Information marked “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER” to any person or entity except as set forth in subparagraphs (1)-(6). Subject to these requirements, the following categories of persons may be allowed to review Confidential Information marked “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER”:

- (1) Outside counsel of record for the parties to this litigation and in-house

counsel for a corporate party of record in this litigation, including necessary secretarial, clerical, and litigation support or copy service personnel assisting such counsel.

- (2) Outside consultants or expert witnesses who are not employees, directors, or officers of any party, performing services solely in connection with the prosecution or defense of this litigation, together with their clerical or support personnel, provided that each such consultant or expert executes a copy of the Agreement attached to this Order before receiving any Confidential Material. Counsel for the party retaining the consultant or expert shall retain the original of the Agreement signed by the consultant or expert.
- (3) The Court and its personnel;
- (4) Court Reporters and Recorders. Court reporters and recorders engaged for depositions;
- (5) A mediator or other neutral agreed to by the parties or appointed by the Court;
- (6) Deposition witnesses, where at least one of the following conditions applies:
 - i. the witness is an employee, officer, director, or 30(b)(6) representative of the designating party when the disclosure is made;
 - ii. the attorney taking the deposition and showing the witness the "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material represents the designating party;

- iii. the witness's name appears on the "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material as a person who has previously authored or received the "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material, or the witness appears based on a good faith interpretation of other evidence to have previously seen or received the "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material;
- iv. the designating party has consented on the record of the deposition to the showing of the "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material to the witness;
- v. if prior to a deposition, a party knows that it will disclose "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material to a witness at a deposition, the party wishing to show the witness the "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material notifies the designating party in writing of its intent to do so, with a specific designation of the "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material to be shown, and the designating party consents in writing to this use of "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material. If a timely objection is provided, the objected to "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A

PROTECTIVE ORDER" material shall not be shown to the witness unless and until the party wishing to show that "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material to the witness moves for and obtains appropriate relief from the Court;

- vi. if a document becomes material or relevant to the examination of the witness, the parties shall in good faith attempt to resolve any issues related to the disclosure of "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" materials to the witness, including having the witness sign the Agreement to be bound by this Order and the removal from the deposition room of any persons not allowed to see "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" materials. If the parties are unable to resolve their differences, they shall attempt to resolve the issue with the Court expeditiously, including through a telephonic conference if available. If the efforts to resolve the dispute are unsuccessful, the witness shall not be shown the "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" materials, and the party seeking disclosure shall bring the matter on for hearing before the Court; or
- vii. the witness is otherwise authorized hereunder to receive the disclosed "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER" material.

(d) Control of Documents. Counsel for the parties shall make reasonable efforts to prevent unauthorized or inadvertent disclosure of Confidential Information. Counsel shall maintain the originals of the forms signed by persons acknowledging their obligations under this Order for a period of three years after the termination of the case.

6. Inadvertent Failure to Designate. An inadvertent failure to designate a document as Confidential Information does not, standing alone, waive the right to so designate the document; provided, however, that a failure to serve a timely Notice of Designation of deposition testimony as required by this Order, even if inadvertent, waives any protection for deposition testimony. If a party designates a document as Confidential Information after it was initially produced, the receiving party, on notification of the designation, must make a reasonable effort to assure that the document is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order. No party shall be found to have violated this Order for failing to maintain the confidentiality of material during a time when that material has not been designated Confidential Information, even where the failure to so designate was inadvertent and where the material is subsequently designated Confidential Information.

7. Filing of Confidential Information. This Order does not, by itself, authorize the filing of any document under seal. Any party wishing to file a document designated as Confidential Information in connection with a motion, brief or other submission to the Court must comply with LR 26.2 and the common law of this Circuit. *See Bond v. Utreras*, 585 F.3d 1061, 1073 (7th Cir. 2009) (noting that public “has a presumptive right to access discovery materials that are filed with the court”); *Baxter Int’l, Inc. v. Abbott Labs.*, 297 F.3d 544, 545-46 (7th Cir. 2002) (stating that filed discovery documents “that influence or underpin the judicial decision are open to public inspection unless they meet the definition of trade secrets or other categories of bona fide long-term confidentiality . . . In civil litigation only trade secrets, information covered by a recognized privilege (such as the attorney-client privilege), and information required by

statute to be maintained in confidence (such as the name of a minor victim of sexual assault) are entitled to be kept secret”); *Union Oil Co. of Cal. v. Leavell*, 220 F.3d 562, 567-68 (7th Cir. 2000) (“Many a litigant would prefer that the subject matter of a case . . . be kept from the curious (including its business rivals and customers), but the tradition that litigation is open to the public is of very long standing.”); *Citizens First Nat’l Bank of Princeton v. Cincinnati Ins. Co.*, 178 F.3d 943, 945 (7th Cir. 1999) (warning courts not to allow parties “to seal whatever they want” and urging them to apply “a neutral balancing of the relevant interests” in connection with any good-cause determination presented by a motion to seal).

If a party wishes to file in the public record a document that another producer has designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential, the party must advise the producer of the document no later than five business days before the document is due to be filed, so that the producer may move the Court to require the document to be filed under seal. The party must review the foregoing case law, and any motion to seal will be taken by the Court as a certification that the movant has read the foregoing case law and has ensured that it is making a good-faith argument that the document in question qualifies for sealing under the Seventh Circuit’s stringent standards.

8. No Greater Protection of Specific Documents. Except on privilege grounds not addressed by this Order, no party may withhold information from discovery on the ground that it requires protection greater than that afforded by this Order unless the party moves for an order providing such special protection.

9. Challenges by a Party to Designation as Confidential Information. The designation of any material or document as Confidential Information is subject to challenge by any party. The following procedure shall apply to any such challenge.

(a) Meet and Confer. A party challenging the designation of Confidential

Information must do so in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly with counsel for the designating party. In conferring, the challenging party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the designating party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the designation, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the designation. The designating party must respond to the challenge within five (5) business days.

(b) Judicial Intervention. A party that elects to challenge a confidentiality designation may file and serve a motion that identifies the challenged material and sets forth in detail the basis for the challenge. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration that affirms that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements of this procedure. Until the Court rules on the challenge, all parties shall continue to treat the materials as Confidential Information under the terms of this Order.

10. Action by the Court. Applications to the Court for an order relating to materials or documents designated Confidential Information shall be by motion. Nothing in this Order or any action or agreement of a party under this Order limits the Court's power to make orders concerning the disclosure of documents produced in discovery or at trial.

11. Use of Confidential Documents or Information at Trial. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to affect the use of any document, material, or information at any trial or hearing. A party that intends to present or that anticipates that another party may present Confidential information at a hearing or trial shall bring that issue to the Court's and parties' attention by motion or in a pretrial memorandum without disclosing the Confidential Information. The Court may thereafter make such orders as are necessary to govern the use of such documents or information at trial.

12. Confidential Information Subpoenaed or Ordered Produced in Other Litigation.

(a) If a receiving party is served with a subpoena or an order issued in other litigation that would compel disclosure of any material or document designated in this action as Confidential Information, the receiving party must so notify the designating party, in writing, immediately and in no event more than three court days after receiving the subpoena or order. Such notification must include a copy of the subpoena or court order.

(b) The receiving party also must immediately inform in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is the subject of this Order. In addition, the receiving party must deliver a copy of this Order promptly to the party in the other action that caused the subpoena to issue.

(c) The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested persons to the existence of this Order and to afford the designating party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its Confidential Information in the court from which the subpoena or order issued. The designating party shall bear the burden and the expense of seeking protection in that court of its Confidential Information, and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a receiving party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court. The obligations set forth in this paragraph remain in effect while the party has in its possession, custody or control Confidential Information by the other party to this case.

13. Challenges by Members of the Public to Sealing Orders. A party or interested member of the public has a right to challenge the sealing of particular documents that have been filed under seal, and the party asserting confidentiality will have the burden of demonstrating the propriety of filing under seal.

14. Obligations on Conclusion of Litigation.

(a) Order Continues in Force. Unless otherwise agreed or ordered, this Order shall remain in force after dismissal or entry of final judgment not subject to further appeal.

(b) Obligations at Conclusion of Litigation. Within sixty-three days after dismissal or entry of final judgment not subject to further appeal, all Confidential Information and documents marked “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” of “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” under this Order, including copies as defined in ¶ 3(a), shall be returned to the producing party unless: (1) the document has been offered into evidence or filed without restriction as to disclosure; (2) the parties agree to destruction to the extent practicable in lieu of return;² or (3) as to documents bearing the notations, summations, or other mental impressions of the receiving party, that party elects to destroy the documents and certifies to the producing party that it has done so.

(c) Retention of Work Product and one set of Filed Documents. Notwithstanding the above requirements to return or destroy documents, counsel may retain (1) attorney work product, including an index that refers or relates to designated Confidential Information so long as that work product does not duplicate verbatim substantial portions of Confidential Information, and (2) one complete set of all documents filed with the Court including those filed under seal. Any retained Confidential Information shall continue to be protected under this Order. An attorney may use his or her work product in subsequent litigation, provided that its use does not disclose or use Confidential Information.

(d) Deletion of Documents filed under Seal from Electronic Case Filing (ECF) System. Filings under seal shall be deleted from the ECF system only upon order of the

² The parties may choose to agree that the receiving party shall destroy documents containing Confidential Information and certify the fact of destruction, and that the receiving party shall not be required to locate, isolate and return e-mails (including attachments to e-mails) that may include Confidential Information, or Confidential Information contained in deposition transcripts or drafts or final expert reports.

Court.

15. Order Subject to Modification. This Order shall be subject to modification by the Court on its own initiative or on motion of a party or any other person with standing concerning the subject matter.

16. No Prior Judicial Determination. This Order is entered based on the representations and agreements of the parties and for the purpose of facilitating discovery. Nothing herein shall be construed or presented as a judicial determination that any document or material designated Confidential Information by counsel or the parties is entitled to protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or otherwise until such time as the Court may rule on a specific document or issue.

17. Persons Bound. This Order shall take effect when entered and shall be binding upon all counsel of record and their law firms, the parties, and persons made subject to this Order by its terms.

So Ordered.

DATED:

U.S. Magistrate Judge

ATTACHMENT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

DENNIS GROMOV, individually and on)	
behalf of all other similarly situated,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 1:22-cv-06918
)	
v.)	Hon. Franklin U. Valderrama
)	
BELKIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)	Magistrate Judge Gabriel Fuentes
)	
Defendant.)	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he/she has read the Confidentiality Order dated _____ in the above-captioned action and attached hereto, understands the terms thereof, and agrees to be bound by its terms. The undersigned submits to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois in matters relating to the Confidentiality Order and understands that the terms of the Confidentiality Order obligate him/her to use materials designated as Confidential Information in accordance with the Order solely for the purposes of the above-captioned action, and not to disclose any such Confidential Information to any other person, firm or concern.

The undersigned acknowledges that violation of the Confidentiality Order may result in penalties for contempt of court.

Name: _____

Job Title: _____

Employer: _____

Business Address: _____

Date: _____

Signature